

# The impact of CO<sub>2</sub>

The global rise in the levels of CO<sub>2</sub> is good for trees, bad for grasses and terrible for corals

This year's Nobel Peace Prize for former US Vice President Al Gore and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Geneva, Switzerland) again highlighted the importance and possible threat of anthropogenic climate change by rising levels of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in the atmosphere. Worse still—and often ignored—are the effects of rising levels of CO<sub>2</sub> in their own right, regardless of climate change. However, research focusing on the carbon dimension is now giving a more accurate picture of how land plants and marine organisms in particular will respond to progressively higher concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in both the atmosphere and the sea.

**...coral reefs could start to dissipate once the level of CaCO<sub>3</sub> falls below 3.25 times oversaturation or as soon as atmospheric levels of CO<sub>2</sub> reach 550 ppm**

The impact of elevated levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on land and in water will be very different but both already have scientists worried, particularly with regard to the fate of calciferous marine organisms such as corals. "On the ocean side, the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> rise are much more pernicious," said Ken Caldeira of the Department of Global Ecology at the Carnegie Institution of Washington, DC, USA. "For land plants, CO<sub>2</sub> can be thought of as an essential nutrient. There is a constant struggle [for land plants] to let in more CO<sub>2</sub> and let out as little water as possible. But ocean organisms are almost never limited by the availability of CO<sub>2</sub>. They are more constrained by light or availability of nutrients."

The crucial point for marine organisms is that rising levels of CO<sub>2</sub> will lower the pH of their environment, which will challenge their biochemistry—particularly organisms such as corals, coccolithophores (single-celled algae), crustaceans and molluscs, all of which use calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) to produce external skeletons or shell coverings. Seawater is slightly alkaline, with a pH now in the range of 7.9 to 8.2 in the open ocean. This value has decreased by an average of approximately 0.1 since the beginning of the industrial era as a result of the anthropomorphic release of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere, which, in turn, has increased the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the oceans. CO<sub>2</sub> lowers the oceanic pH by increasing the concentration of hydrogen ions (H<sup>+</sup>) in the water. It also reacts with water to form several ionic and non-ionic species including bicarbonate ions (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), which are less alkaline than carbonate ions (CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>). The net effect is a decrease in alkalinity and a lower concentration of carbonates in the water.

The decreasing amounts of calcium carbonates threaten a wide variety of calcifying marine organisms. The timing of their potential extinction will depend largely on the type of CaCO<sub>3</sub> that they require. Corals, for example, use aragonite to build their exoskeleton, whereas many plankton organisms use calcite for protective coverings. Aragonite dissolves more easily than calcite, so there is a more immediate threat to corals and their associated reefs, including the Great Barrier Reef off the coast of Queensland, Australia, which spans an area of 344,400 square km. According to Caldeira, coral reefs could start to dissipate once the level of CaCO<sub>3</sub> falls below 3.25 times over-saturation, or as soon as atmospheric levels of CO<sub>2</sub> reach 550ppm. "At current emission levels, this will happen by mid-century, perhaps even 2040," he said.

The outlook is less bleak for other calciferous organisms such as many plankton. However, even they will not be able to survive the higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> that are likely if humans continue to burn significant amounts of fossil fuel; Caldeira believes that 750ppm in the atmosphere is the upper limit in which they could survive. "In any case, as CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations increase [...] it becomes harder for organisms with shells to build, and they need to put more energy in, leaving less for reproduction, finding food and avoiding predators," he said. Some organisms might therefore start to become extinct even before concentrations of CaCO<sub>3</sub> reach the critical point, as they will be unfit to compete against non-calciferous rivals.

**While primitive animals are bearing the brunt of the CO<sub>2</sub> onslaught in the oceans, it will be plants that are mostly affected on land**

At least one organism, the pteropod, also known as the sea snail or sea butterfly—which inhabits cold waters in which CO<sub>2</sub> dissolves more readily—is already losing shell mass. "With respect to calcifiers, areas which already exhibit a low CaCO<sub>3</sub> saturation state will be affected first," commented Jean-Pierre Gattuso, Senior Research Scientist at the Laboratoire d'Océanographie in Villefranche-sur-mer, France. "These are high-latitude regions and deep waters."

The implications of falling oceanic pH levels are less clear for non-calciferous marine organisms because some might actually benefit from the indirect consequences of rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. "There is some evidence that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will stimulate

primary production of some species," noted Gattuso. "There is also some recent data suggesting that nitrogen fixation will be stimulated. Winners could be identified as research progresses."

Yet, there will also be losers among non-calciferous organisms. Caldeira pointed out that rising levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  could affect oxygen and  $\text{CO}_2$  transport in the blood of marine organisms because the binding behaviour of haemoglobin is sensitive to blood pH. When blood enters the gills, the low  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration there reduces the acidity and causes the pH of the blood to rise, which encourages haemoglobin to bind to oxygen and to release  $\text{CO}_2$ . As the blood circulates and oxygen is converted to  $\text{CO}_2$ , the blood pH falls and increases the ability of haemoglobin to bind to  $\text{CO}_2$ . More  $\text{CO}_2$  in the water will decrease the pH around the gills and, therefore, allow less  $\text{CO}_2$  to be expelled from the blood. This effect will be amplified by global warming because warm water can take up less oxygen. As Caldeira pointed out, organisms might adapt by generating more oxygen-fixating pigment, but again this could come at the expense of other fitness attributes such as reproductive ability; squid are among those most vulnerable to this threat (Caldeira *et al*, 2005).

The impact on higher animals—including fish and marine mammals—will be far less because their body chemistry is insulated against the external ocean to a much greater extent than most non-vertebrates. However, higher organisms might still be affected indirectly because they rely on other organisms lower down the food chain. Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Professor and Director of the Centre for Marine Studies at the University of Queensland, Australia, noted that, "[g]iven that these lower organisms provide the photosynthetic energy that ultimately passes through important organisms such as krill, fish and eventually large organisms such as sea mammals, there is growing concern about the impact on food chains."

There is less concern about the impact of rising levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere on land food chains, although scientists also expect to see profound changes. While primitive animals are bearing the brunt of the  $\text{CO}_2$  onslaught in the oceans, it will be plants that are mostly affected on land. The difference for

plants is that  $\text{CO}_2$  is, in effect, a fertilizer, and could boost growth rates and reproduction across a wide range of plant species. But the spoils of raised atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration will not be divided evenly across the plant kingdom.

Plants require  $\text{CO}_2$  for photosynthesis, but they must balance  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake through their stomata with water loss to the atmosphere. Plants that have evolved in different climates have therefore evolved different strategies to optimize the time they need to take up atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ . The idea that weeds will prosper under raised levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  at the expense of crops and cultivated plants has gained wide currency, but it is an oversimplification; the response of a plant to rising levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  will actually depend on its mechanism of photosynthesis, rather than whether humans regard it as a pest.

Plants can be divided into two categories— $\text{C}_3$  and  $\text{C}_4$ —based on their method of fixating  $\text{CO}_2$  from the atmosphere, with a further subcategory of  $\text{C}_4$  called CAM (crassulacean acid metabolism). The bulk

of plants, accounting for 99% of the sum total biomass, use the  $\text{C}_3$  mechanism to fix carbon from atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , whereas most of the world's 'worst' weeds—those that are most troublesome for cultivated crops—are  $\text{C}_4$  plants (Holm *et al*, 1978). However, it is not entirely clear whether  $\text{C}_3$  or  $\text{C}_4$  plants will benefit most from raised levels of  $\text{CO}_2$ , although the consensus is that  $\text{C}_3$  plants are likely to be the overall winners (Li *et al*, 2007).

**...the spoils of raised atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  will not be divided evenly across the plant kingdom**

The process is called the  $\text{C}_3$  pathway because the first product of  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction in photosynthesis is a 3-carbon compound. The enzyme ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (rubisco) regulates the uptake of  $\text{CO}_2$  and the rate of photosynthesis in a single-staged process, similar



to opening and closing the vent of a fire. This relatively straightforward mechanism imposes a lower metabolic cost than the  $C_4$  mechanisms and is well adapted for plants in cool, moist conditions under normal light levels. All plants were thought to use this mechanism until the 1960s when Marshall Hatch and Roger Slack discovered the  $C_4$  mechanism (Hatch & Slack, 1966).

$C_4$  plants evolved in arid conditions where light is plentiful but moisture is scarce, thus requiring a more efficient method for reducing water loss.  $C_4$  plants still use rubisco to control photosynthesis, but the primary step of carbon fixation uses the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) to produce a 4-carbon compound. PEPC fixates  $CO_2$  much faster than rubisco during times of strong sunlight, and therefore reduces water loss as the plant can close its stomata much earlier. However, the additional step consumes energy and  $C_4$  plants are less well adapted to cooler, wetter conditions. The  $C_4$  category includes approximately 3,000 known species in 19 plant families, including saltbush, corn, many plants that flower in summer, and grasses in arid and tropical regions. Finally, CAM plants—such as many succulents including cacti, agaves and some orchids—evolved an even more specialized adaptation for extremely arid conditions. CAM plants open their stomata only at night in order to reduce water loss; however, as photosynthesis requires the energetic input of sunlight, CAM plants convert  $CO_2$  into an acid during the night for storage. The reaction is then reversed the next day to bring back the  $CO_2$  for photosynthesis.

Crucially, elevated  $CO_2$  should stimulate growth in  $C_3$  plants and reduce the time that they need to keep their stomata open for photosynthesis. This would, in turn, reduce water loss and allow  $C_3$  plants to flourish in more arid areas.  $C_3$  plants, including trees, might therefore be able to spread into semi-arid areas, such as tropical savannahs, where grasses now predominate.

This analysis assumes that increased levels of  $CO_2$  will have no further biochemical effects that might influence the fates of plant species. In the real world, however, increased levels of  $CO_2$  might also affect the competitiveness of some plants against rival plants, disease resistance and their ability to fend off animal predators. Although this research only began in the 1990s, a few examples have already been

found of how plants, including crops, could suffer under increased atmospheric  $CO_2$ .

The soybean, for example, becomes more attractive to Japanese beetles when exposed to elevated levels of  $CO_2$ , according to research by Jorge Zavala and colleagues at the Institute for Genomic Biology at the University of Illinois (Urbana, IL, USA). They compared soybeans growing at an ambient  $CO_2$  level of 370ppm, and plants fumigated to 550ppm  $CO_2$ —the level of  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere predicted by the year 2050. The elevated  $CO_2$  affected the levels of soybean defence compounds that usually inhibit digestive enzymes in the beetles' gut and make the plant unappetizing (Zavala *et al*, 2007). "Jasmonic acid and ethylene are hormones related to the expression of the defence compound CystPI [...] I found that elevated  $CO_2$  down-regulated the expression of *lox* and *acc* synthase, which are the genes that code for the crucial enzymes in the pathway of each of those hormones. In addition, I found that elevated  $CO_2$  down-regulated the expression of the two inducible CystPI soybean genes together with the activity of the protein," Zavala said. He also suggested that this effect was not confined to soybeans and that at least 50% of the predicted increased crop yield resulting from higher  $CO_2$  concentrations could be consumed by predatory insects exploiting the lowered resistance of the plants.

However, in cooler climates, where there are fewer insect predators, agriculture might benefit from another possible effect of elevated  $CO_2$ —increased resistance to the cold. As noted previously, higher levels of  $CO_2$  will allow plants to lose less water during  $CO_2$  acquisition, which will, in turn, reduce the loss of heat through evaporation.

But increased yields might not be as great as experiments suggest because other nutrients, particularly nitrogen, might become a constraint. Recent experiments conducted by Peter Reich and colleagues at the University of Minnesota in St Paul, MN, USA, on grasses suggests that nitrogen depletion will become a significant dampener on plant growth as  $CO_2$  levels rise (Reich *et al*, 2006).

As in the oceans, the impact of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  on higher land animals is much less clear, with few direct consequences expected in the foreseeable future. There is evidence, however, that humans could also suffer, quite apart from the economic and environmental impact of climate change. A recent study by Paul Beggs and colleagues at Macquarie University, New

South Wales, Australia, has found that rising  $CO_2$  stimulates the production of pollen—particularly allergenic pollen—to an even greater extent than it boosts growth (Becks & Bambrick, 2005). This could increase the prevalence of asthma and incidence of allergic conditions such as hay fever.

**In the real world, however, increased levels of  $CO_2$  might also affect the competitiveness of some plants against rival plants, disease resistance and their ability to deter animal predators**

There are many other subtle changes that would affect animals that feed on plants. Some research suggests that the nutritional balance will be changed, with higher levels of starch and possibly reduced levels of protein. However, much more research is needed to understand the complex reactions of the biosphere to rising  $CO_2$  levels—research that is now still more or less in its infancy. The one thing that is certain is that the world will change dramatically, with greatest concern about the fate of the oceans and marine life.

#### REFERENCES

- Becks PJ, Bambrick HJ (2005) Is the global rise of asthma an early impact of anthropogenic climate change? *Envir Health Pers* **113**: 915–919
- Caldeira K *et al* (2005) Ocean storage. In *IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage*, B Metz, O Davidson, H de Coninck, M Loos, L Meyer (eds), pp 278–317. Geneva, Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- Hatch MD, Slack CR (1966) Photosynthesis by sugarcane leaves. A new carboxylation reaction and the pathway of sugar formation. *Biochem J* **101**: 103–111
- Holm LG, Plucknett DL, Pancho JV, Herberger JP (1978) The world's worst weeds: distribution and biology. *Quart Rev Biol* **53**: 319–320
- Li P, Bohnert HJ, Grene R (2007) All about FACE-plants in a high- $CO_2$  world. *Trends Plant Sci* **12**: 87–89
- Reich PB, Hobbie S, Lee T, Ellsworth D, West J, Tilman D, Knops J, Naeem S, Trost J (2006) Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to  $CO_2$ . *Nature* **440**: 922–925
- Zavala JA, Casteel CL, Berenbaum MR, De Lucia EH (2007) Elevated  $CO_2$  increases susceptibility of soybean to natural herbivores by defeating induction of cysteine proteinase inhibitors. Chicago, IL, USA: Mini-symposium at Plant Biology & Botany Joint Congress, July 7–11

Philip Hunter

doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7401130